



OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 03 November 2025

ACCEPTED 02 December 2025

PUBLISHED 01 January 2025

VOLUME Vol.07 Issue01 2025

COPYRIGHT

© 2026 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

Antenatal Care Utilization, Maternal Knowledge, And Adverse Birth Outcomes: A Comprehensive Multilevel Analysis Of Determinants, Pathways, And Implications In Low- And Middle-Income Contexts

Dr. Amanuel Bekele Tesfahun

Department of Public Health, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia

Abstract: Antenatal care (ANC) remains one of the most critical pillars of maternal and child health, serving as a primary preventive and promotive intervention to reduce adverse birth outcomes and maternal mortality. Despite global progress in improving maternal health indicators, delayed initiation, irregular attendance, and suboptimal quality of antenatal care persist across low- and middle-income countries, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa and parts of South and Southeast Asia. This research article provides a comprehensive, theory-driven synthesis and original analytical interpretation of existing empirical evidence on antenatal care utilization, maternal knowledge of pregnancy danger signs, socio-demographic determinants, and their relationship with adverse birth outcomes. Drawing strictly on the provided references, this study integrates findings from cross-sectional studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, demographic health surveys, and institutional health profiles to construct a multilayered explanatory framework. The article explores how structural factors such as food security, health system accessibility, urban–rural disparities, and policy environments interact with individual-level determinants including maternal education, pregnancy intention, spousal support, and exposure to mass media. Furthermore, it examines the mediating role of maternal knowledge, early risk detection, and continuity of care in shaping maternal and neonatal outcomes. By moving beyond descriptive summaries, this paper elaborates on causal pathways, theoretical implications, contextual variations, and countervailing explanations. The findings

underscore that antenatal care utilization is not merely a behavioral choice but a socially embedded practice shaped by intersecting economic, cultural, informational, and institutional forces. The article concludes with a critical discussion of methodological limitations in existing studies and proposes future research and policy directions aimed at strengthening equitable, timely, and effective antenatal care systems.

Keywords: Antenatal care utilization, maternal health, adverse birth outcomes, pregnancy danger signs, maternal knowledge, low- and middle-income countries.

1. Introduction: Maternal and neonatal health outcomes are widely recognized as sensitive indicators of the overall performance and equity of health systems. Over the past several decades, global health initiatives have emphasized the importance of antenatal care (ANC) as a foundational strategy to reduce maternal mortality, prevent adverse birth outcomes, and improve neonatal survival. Antenatal care provides a structured platform for early detection of pregnancy-related risks, health education, nutritional support, and psychosocial counseling. However, despite its well-established benefits, the utilization of antenatal care services remains uneven and often inadequate in many low- and middle-income countries.

Empirical evidence consistently demonstrates that delayed initiation of antenatal care, irregular visits, and insufficient content of care are associated with increased risks of preterm birth, low birth weight, stillbirth, and maternal complications (Tamirat et al., 2021; Hailemichael et al., 2020). In Sub-Saharan Africa, where the burden of maternal and neonatal mortality remains disproportionately high, antenatal care utilization is influenced by a complex interplay of socio-economic, cultural, geographic, and health system factors (Tesfaye et al., 2017). Urban–rural disparities, educational inequalities, and resource constraints further compound these challenges, leading to significant within-country and between-country variations.

Beyond access and frequency of visits, growing attention has been paid to maternal knowledge of pregnancy danger signs and its influence on healthcare-seeking behavior. Knowledge of warning signs such as severe bleeding, persistent headache, reduced fetal movement, and prolonged labor has been shown to play a critical role in timely decision-making and utilization of skilled care (Mwilike et al.,

2018; Morhason-Bello et al., 2016). However, knowledge alone is insufficient if structural barriers, gender norms, or health system inadequacies prevent women from acting upon that knowledge.

In Southeast Asia, particularly Indonesia, national and regional health profiles reveal persistent challenges in ensuring regular antenatal visits and early risk detection, despite improvements in overall health infrastructure (Dinkes Aceh, 2021; Kemenkes RI, 2022). Studies conducted at the level of primary healthcare centers highlight irregular ANC attendance linked to maternal knowledge gaps, spousal support, and service quality (Hondro et al., 2022; Yanti, 2018). These findings mirror patterns observed in African contexts, suggesting the presence of shared structural and behavioral determinants across diverse settings.

While numerous studies have examined individual determinants of antenatal care utilization, there remains a gap in integrative analyses that connect these determinants to broader socio-economic conditions such as food security, mass media exposure, and pregnancy intention. The World Health Organization and UNICEF have emphasized that improvements in maternal survival cannot be achieved through clinical interventions alone but require addressing underlying social determinants of health (WHO, 2019; UNICEF, 2019). However, existing literature often treats these determinants in isolation, limiting the development of comprehensive policy responses.

This article seeks to address these gaps by offering an in-depth, theoretically informed, and empirically grounded analysis of antenatal care utilization and its relationship with adverse birth outcomes. By synthesizing evidence from multiple regions and methodological approaches, the study aims to construct a holistic understanding of how individual, household, community, and system-level factors converge to shape maternal health trajectories. Rather than summarizing findings, this article elaborates on causal mechanisms, contextual nuances, and alternative interpretations, thereby contributing to a deeper conceptualization of antenatal care as a socially embedded health practice.

2. Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative integrative analytical approach grounded in secondary data synthesis and theoretical elaboration. The methodological foundation of the study rests on a systematic and critical engagement with the provided reference list, which includes cross-sectional studies, hospital-based case-control studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, demographic and health survey analyses, and official health profiles from governmental and international organizations. No primary data collection was

undertaken, and no statistical modeling or quantitative computation is presented. Instead, all findings are interpreted and conveyed through descriptive and analytical narrative.

The selection of references reflects a deliberate emphasis on low- and middle-income country contexts, particularly Sub-Saharan Africa and Indonesia, where maternal and neonatal health challenges remain pronounced. Studies were examined for their conceptual frameworks, operational definitions of antenatal care utilization, and identified determinants. Particular attention was paid to how different studies conceptualized “early booking,” “regular visits,” and “adequate care,” recognizing that these terms often vary across contexts and guidelines.

The analytical process involved several stages. First, each reference was read in depth to extract key findings related to antenatal care initiation, frequency, content, and outcomes. Second, determinants identified across studies were categorized into thematic domains, including socio-demographic factors, knowledge and awareness, pregnancy intention, health system characteristics, and broader socio-economic conditions. Third, relationships between antenatal care utilization and adverse birth outcomes were examined by tracing reported associations and inferred causal pathways.

To enhance analytical rigor, the study engaged in comparative interpretation across geographic and institutional contexts. For example, determinants identified in Ethiopian urban settings were contrasted with those reported in rural or semi-urban Nigerian and Indonesian contexts (Kolola et al., 2020; Abimbola et al., 2016; Hondro et al., 2022). This comparative lens enabled the identification of both context-specific and universal patterns.

Theoretical elaboration was guided by social determinants of health frameworks and health behavior models, though these were not introduced as external sources. Instead, theoretical reasoning was embedded implicitly through discussion of how structural conditions, agency, and health system design interact. Counter-arguments and alternative explanations were explored where findings across studies diverged or where causal interpretations remained ambiguous.

Ethical considerations are inherently addressed through reliance on previously published studies and publicly available reports. No individual-level data were accessed, and no ethical approval was required. The methodology prioritizes transparency, coherence, and fidelity to the original sources while generating

original interpretive insights.

3. Results

The synthesis of evidence reveals a complex and multilayered pattern of antenatal care utilization and its association with maternal and neonatal outcomes. Across diverse contexts, early initiation of antenatal care within the first trimester emerges as a critical yet insufficiently achieved benchmark. In urban Ethiopia, Kolola et al. (2020) reported that a substantial proportion of pregnant women initiated ANC later than recommended, despite relative proximity to health facilities. This finding challenges simplistic assumptions that urban residence automatically translates into timely care utilization.

One of the most consistent results across studies is the strong association between delayed or irregular antenatal care and adverse birth outcomes. Analyses of demographic and health surveys across Sub-Saharan Africa demonstrate that women who attend fewer ANC visits or initiate care late face significantly higher risks of preterm birth, low birth weight, and neonatal mortality (Tamirat et al., 2021). Hospital-based case-control evidence from northern Ethiopia further corroborates these findings, linking inadequate ANC attendance to complications such as intrauterine growth restriction and stillbirth (Hailemichael et al., 2020).

Maternal knowledge of pregnancy danger signs emerges as a pivotal determinant influencing both ANC utilization and timely healthcare-seeking during complications. Studies conducted in Tanzania and Nigeria indicate that while many women attend at least one antenatal visit, comprehensive knowledge of danger signs remains limited (Mwilike et al., 2018; Morhason-Bello et al., 2016). Importantly, women who demonstrate correct knowledge are more likely to seek skilled care promptly when complications arise, suggesting a mediating role of knowledge between service contact and outcomes.

Socio-demographic factors consistently shape antenatal care patterns. Maternal education, household income, and employment status are positively associated with early and regular ANC attendance across multiple settings (Tesfaye et al., 2017; Abimbola et al., 2016). Conversely, younger maternal age, higher parity, and unintended pregnancy are associated with delayed initiation and fewer visits (Dibaba et al., 2013; Abame et al., 2019). These associations highlight how reproductive life course factors intersect with socio-economic conditions to influence care-seeking behavior.

Health system characteristics also play a decisive role. Studies examining group versus individual antenatal care models in Malawi reveal that innovative service

delivery approaches can improve both maternal satisfaction and selected health outcomes (Chirwa et al., 2020). In contrast, regional health profiles from Indonesia suggest that service availability alone does not guarantee utilization if quality, continuity, and interpersonal aspects of care are perceived as inadequate (Dinkes Aceh Besar, 2020; Puskesmas Krueng Barona, 2021).

Exposure to mass media emerges as a significant but context-dependent determinant. Evidence from South Asia indicates that women with regular exposure to television, radio, or print media are more likely to utilize maternal health services, including ANC (Fatema & Lariscy, 2020). Media exposure appears to function by increasing awareness, normalizing care-seeking behavior, and potentially shifting gender norms.

Finally, broader socio-economic conditions such as food security and nutrition exert indirect yet profound influences. The World Health Organization's global assessment emphasizes that economic slowdowns and food insecurity disproportionately affect pregnant women, undermining both nutritional status and access to care (WHO, 2019). These macro-level factors contextualize individual-level findings and underscore the interconnectedness of health and development.

4. Discussion

The findings synthesized in this article underscore that antenatal care utilization cannot be adequately understood through a narrow behavioral lens. Instead, it represents the outcome of layered interactions between individual agency, social structures, and health system design. One of the most striking insights is the persistence of delayed ANC initiation even in urban settings with relatively better physical access to health facilities (Kolola et al., 2020). This challenges infrastructure-centric policy approaches and calls attention to informational, cultural, and experiential dimensions of care-seeking.

Maternal knowledge of pregnancy danger signs occupies a central but ambivalent position in the causal chain. While knowledge is clearly associated with improved healthcare-seeking behavior, several studies indicate that knowledge levels remain suboptimal even among women who attend ANC services (Morhason-Bello et al., 2016). This suggests potential deficiencies in the educational content or delivery methods within antenatal programs. It also raises questions about the assumption that contact with health services automatically translates into meaningful learning.

The relationship between pregnancy intention and antenatal care utilization illustrates the importance of psychosocial factors. Women experiencing unintended pregnancies are consistently less likely to initiate ANC

early or attend regularly (Dibaba et al., 2013; Abame et al., 2019). This pattern may reflect emotional distress, ambivalence, or social stigma, highlighting the need for integrated reproductive health services that address family planning, counseling, and maternal care as interconnected domains.

Health system innovations, such as group antenatal care, offer promising avenues for improving utilization and outcomes but are not universally applicable. The effectiveness of such models depends on cultural acceptability, staffing capacity, and continuity of implementation (Chirwa et al., 2020). Moreover, innovations must be evaluated not only for clinical outcomes but also for their impact on equity, as marginalized women may face additional barriers to participation.

The role of mass media and spousal support further illustrates the social embeddedness of antenatal care. Media exposure can amplify health messages, but its reach and credibility vary across contexts (Fatema & Lariscy, 2020). Similarly, spousal support has been shown to enhance regular ANC attendance, reflecting gender dynamics and decision-making power within households (Yanti, 2018). These findings suggest that maternal health interventions must engage not only women but also families and communities.

Several limitations in the existing literature warrant consideration. Many studies rely on cross-sectional designs, limiting causal inference. Self-reported measures of ANC attendance and knowledge are subject to recall and social desirability biases. Additionally, variations in definitions of "adequate" ANC complicate comparisons across studies. Addressing these limitations requires longitudinal designs, standardized indicators, and mixed-method approaches that capture lived experiences.

Future research should explore how digital health interventions, community-based education, and policy reforms can synergistically enhance antenatal care utilization. There is also a need for deeper examination of quality of care, as frequency of visits alone does not guarantee positive outcomes. Integrating nutritional support, mental health screening, and respectful maternity care into ANC programs may yield more comprehensive benefits.

5. Conclusion

This article provides a comprehensive and theoretically enriched analysis of antenatal care utilization and its determinants within low- and middle-income country contexts. Drawing strictly from the provided references, it demonstrates that antenatal care is a multidimensional practice shaped by knowledge, socio-economic conditions, health system characteristics, and

broader structural forces. Delayed and irregular ANC attendance remains a critical challenge with direct implications for adverse birth outcomes.

The evidence underscores that improving maternal and neonatal health requires more than expanding service availability. It demands attention to educational content, gender dynamics, pregnancy intention, media engagement, and social protection mechanisms. Antenatal care must be reconceptualized as a continuum of meaningful engagement rather than a checklist of visits.

By elaborating on causal pathways and contextual nuances, this article contributes to a deeper understanding of why gaps in antenatal care persist and how they might be addressed. Policymakers, practitioners, and researchers must adopt integrated, equity-oriented strategies that recognize the social embeddedness of maternal health. Only through such holistic approaches can the full potential of antenatal care be realized in improving outcomes for mothers and children.

References

1. Abame, D. E., Abera, M., Tesfay, A., Yohannes, Y., Ermias, D., Markos, T., & Goba, G. (2019). Relationship between unintended pregnancy and antenatal care use during pregnancy in Hadiya Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Reproduction & Infertility*, 20(1), 42–50.
2. Abimbola, J. M., Makanjuola, A. T., Ganiyu, S. A., Babatunde, U. M. M., Adekunle, D. K., & Olatayo, A. A. (2016). Pattern of utilization of ante-natal and delivery services in a semi-urban community of North-Central Nigeria. *African Health Sciences*, 16(4), 962–971.
3. Alvionita, V., Erviany, N., Farahdiba, I., Elba, F., Asih, F. R., Restanty, D. A., et al. (2023). Deteksi Dini Risiko Tinggi Kehamilan. Get Press Indonesia.
4. Anisa, A., Munir, R., & Lestari, F. (2022). Hubungan pengetahuan ibu hamil tentang tanda-tanda bahaya kehamilan dengan pemanfaatan buku kesehatan ibu dan anak (KIA). *Journal of Midwifery Care*, 2(02), 95–105.
5. Chirwa, E., Kapito, E., Jere, D. L., Kafulafula, U., Chodzaza, E., Chorwe-Sungani, G., et al. (2020). An effectiveness-implementation hybrid type 1 trial assessing the impact of group versus individual antenatal care on maternal and infant outcomes in Malawi. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1), 1–13.
6. Dibaba, Y., Fantahun, M., & Hindin, M. J. (2013). The effects of pregnancy intention on the use of antenatal care services: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reproductive Health*, 10(1), 50.
7. Dinkes Aceh. (2021). *Profil Kesehatan Aceh*. Dinas Kesehatan Aceh.
8. Dinkes Aceh Besar. (2020). *Profil Kesehatan Aceh Besar*. Dinas Kesehatan Aceh Besar.
9. Fatema, K., & Lariscy, J. T. (2020). Mass media exposure and maternal healthcare utilization in South Asia. *SSM – Population Health*, 11, 100614.
10. Hailemichael, H. T., Debelew, G. T., Alema, H. B., Weldu, M. G., & Misgina, K. H. (2020). Determinants of adverse birth outcome in Tigray region, North Ethiopia: Hospital-based case-control study. *BMC Pediatrics*, 20(1), 1–10.
11. Hondro, S. M. K., Pasaribu, V. S. O., Purba, E. M., Manurung, H. R., Dewi, E. R., & Marliani, M. (2022). Factors associated with the irregularity of antenatal care visits at the Amandraya Health Center in South Nias Regency. *Jurnal Eduhealth*, 13(02), 904–911.
12. Kemenkes RI. (2022). *Profil Kesehatan Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia*. Jakarta.
13. Kolahi, A. A., Abbasi-Kangevari, M., Abdollahi, M., Ehdaei, F., & Arshi, S. (2018). Pattern of prenatal care utilization in Tehran: A population-based longitudinal study. *Women and Birth*, 31(3), e147–e151.
14. Kolola, T., Morka, W., & Abdissa, B. (2020). Antenatal care booking within the first trimester of pregnancy and its associated factors among pregnant women residing in an urban area: A cross-sectional study in Debre Berhan town, Ethiopia. *BMJ Open*, 10(6), e032960.
15. Morhason-Bello, I. O., Fagbamigbe, A. F., Mumuni, T. O., Adesina, O. A., Abdus-Salam, A. R., Ifemeje, A., & Ojengbede, O. A. (2016). Evaluation of correct knowledge of key danger signs in pregnancy among antenatal clinic attendees at a tertiary health facility in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*, 19(2), 227–232.
16. Mwili, B., Nalwadda, G., Kagawa, M., Malima, K., Mselle, L., & Horiuchi, S. (2018). Knowledge of danger signs during pregnancy and subsequent healthcare seeking actions among women in Urban Tanzania: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 18(1), 4.
17. Puskesmas Krueng Barona. (2021). *Profil Kesehatan*. Krueng Barona.
18. Saragih, E., Masruroh, M., Mukhoirotin, M., Herawati, T., Hutagaol, A., Cathryne, J., et al. (2022). *Kesehatan Ibu dan Anak*. Yayasan Kita Menulis.

- 19.** Siahaan, M. (2018). Hubungan riwayat kunjungan ANC ibu hamil dengan proses persalinan di RSUD Dr. Tengku Mansyur Kota Tanjung Balai Tahun 2018. Institut Kesehatan Helvetia.
- 20.** Tamirat, K. S., Sisay, M. M., Tesema, G. A., & Tessema, Z. T. (2021). Determinants of adverse birth outcome in Sub-Saharan Africa: Analysis of recent demographic and health surveys. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1), 1–10.
- 21.** Tesfaye, G., Loxton, D., Chojenta, C., Semahegn, A., & Smith, R. (2017). Delayed initiation of antenatal care and associated factors in Ethiopia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reproductive Health*, 14, 1–17.
- 22.** UNICEF. (2019). More women and children survive today than ever before – UN report.
- 23.** World Health Organization. (2019). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2019: Safeguarding Against Economic Slowdowns and Downturns. Food and Agriculture Organization.
- 24.** Yanti, Y. E. (2018). Hubungan pengetahuan ibu dan dukungan suami pada ibu hamil terhadap keteraturan kunjungan antenatal care di Puskesmas Wates Lampung Tengah Tahun 2014. *Jurnal Kebidanan Malahayati*, 1(2).
- 25.** Zile, I., & Villerusa, A. (2019). Comparison of antenatal care factors and neonatal outcomes in rural and urban contexts. *European Journal of Public Health*, 24(Suppl_2).