



Synthetic Reconstruction Techniques for Identifying Hepatic Lesions in Computed Tomography Imaging

Dr. Alexei Petrov

Department of Radiology and Medical Imaging Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia

Dr. Elena Sokolova

Institute of Biomedical Engineering National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 08 March 2025

ACCEPTED 06 April 2026

PUBLISHED 01 May 2026

VOLUME Vol.07 Issue05 2026

COPYRIGHT

© 2026 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

Abstract: The detection and characterization of hepatic lesions in computed tomography (CT) imaging remain critical challenges in clinical radiology due to variability in lesion appearance, imaging noise, and inter-observer inconsistencies. Traditional computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) systems have improved diagnostic support; however, they are often constrained by reliance on annotated datasets and limited generalization capabilities. Recent advances in deep learning, particularly in unsupervised and semi-supervised anomaly detection, have introduced synthetic reconstruction techniques as a promising alternative. These methods leverage generative models such as autoencoders, generative adversarial networks (GANs), and diffusion-based architectures to reconstruct normal anatomical patterns and identify deviations indicative of pathological regions.

This study presents a comprehensive investigation into synthetic reconstruction techniques for hepatic lesion identification in CT imaging. It develops a unified framework integrating adversarial reconstruction, transformer-based segmentation, and anomaly localization mechanisms. The proposed methodology employs a hybrid architecture combining memory-augmented autoencoders, GAN-based reconstruction, and attention-guided inpainting to enhance lesion detectability. Theoretical foundations of anomaly detection, reconstruction error modeling, and representation learning are critically examined.

A comparative evaluation is conducted against conventional segmentation-based approaches,

including U-Net variants and nnU-Net configurations, highlighting the advantages of reconstruction-driven anomaly detection in data-scarce scenarios. The study further analyzes challenges such as reconstruction bias, false positives in heterogeneous liver textures, and domain shift across imaging protocols.

Results demonstrate that synthetic reconstruction techniques achieve improved sensitivity in detecting subtle hepatic lesions while maintaining competitive specificity. The findings emphasize the potential of unsupervised frameworks to reduce annotation dependency and enhance clinical workflow efficiency. The study concludes by identifying future research directions, including multimodal fusion, diffusion-based anomaly modeling, and real-time clinical deployment strategies.

Keywords: Hepatic lesions, computed tomography, anomaly detection, generative models, synthetic reconstruction, autoencoders, GANs, medical imaging, deep learning, segmentation.

1. Introduction: Hepatic lesions, encompassing benign and malignant abnormalities in liver tissue, represent a significant clinical concern due to their association with primary liver cancers and metastatic diseases. Global cancer statistics indicate a growing burden of liver-related malignancies, necessitating accurate and early detection mechanisms (Jemal et al., 2011; Siegel et al., 2019). Computed tomography (CT) imaging remains a cornerstone modality for liver assessment owing to its high spatial resolution and accessibility. However, the identification of hepatic lesions is inherently challenging due to variability in lesion morphology, contrast phases, and patient-specific anatomical differences.

Radiologists often encounter diagnostic uncertainty, exacerbated by increasing imaging workloads and complexity (McDonald, 2015). Error rates in radiological interpretation further highlight the need for robust computational assistance (Fitzgerald, 2001). Traditional computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) systems have evolved from rule-based frameworks to deep learning-driven approaches, demonstrating improved performance in segmentation and classification tasks (Doi, 2007; Chan et al., 2020). Nonetheless, these systems typically rely on large-scale annotated datasets, which are expensive and time-consuming to obtain in medical domains.

Recent developments in anomaly detection offer an alternative paradigm. Instead of directly learning lesion characteristics, these methods model normal

anatomical structures and identify deviations as anomalies. Synthetic reconstruction techniques, particularly those based on autoencoders and generative adversarial networks (GANs), reconstruct normal tissue patterns and highlight discrepancies in pathological regions (Schlegl et al., 2019; Akcay et al., 2018). This approach is particularly advantageous in scenarios where annotated abnormal data is scarce or heterogeneous.

The emergence of transformer-based architectures, such as Swin-UNet, and self-configuring frameworks like nnU-Net has further advanced medical image analysis (Cao, 2022; Isensee et al., 2021). However, segmentation-based approaches may struggle with subtle or ambiguous lesions. Synthetic reconstruction techniques provide complementary capabilities by focusing on anomaly localization through reconstruction error analysis.

This research aims to explore and formalize synthetic reconstruction techniques for hepatic lesion detection in CT imaging. The study addresses key questions: how generative models can effectively reconstruct normal liver anatomy, how reconstruction errors can be leveraged for anomaly detection, and how hybrid architectures can enhance performance. The scope includes theoretical modeling, architectural design, and comparative evaluation.

The significance of this work lies in its potential to reduce dependency on annotated datasets, improve detection sensitivity, and contribute to scalable CAD systems. By integrating insights from anomaly detection literature and medical imaging advancements, this study proposes a novel framework tailored to hepatic lesion identification.

2. Literature Review

The evolution of medical image analysis has been shaped by advancements in deep learning, particularly in segmentation and anomaly detection. Early CAD systems relied on handcrafted features and statistical models, offering limited adaptability (Doi, 2007). With the advent of convolutional neural networks (CNNs), architectures such as U-Net revolutionized biomedical image segmentation by enabling end-to-end learning (Ronneberger et al., 2015). Subsequent variants, including UNet++ and nnU-Net, improved performance through architectural optimization and self-configuration (Zhou et al., 2018; Isensee et al., 2021).

Despite these advancements, segmentation-based approaches face limitations in detecting heterogeneous and rare anomalies. Reviews by Hesamian et al. (2019) and Siddique et al. (2021) highlight challenges such as data dependency, generalization issues, and sensitivity to noise. In the context of liver imaging, systematic

analyses emphasize the complexity of lesion detection due to diverse imaging characteristics (Nayantara et al., 2020).

Anomaly detection frameworks have emerged as a promising alternative. Autoencoder-based methods reconstruct normal patterns and identify anomalies through reconstruction error (Baur et al., 2018; Baur et al., 2021). Variants such as denoising autoencoders and heterogeneous autoencoders improve robustness against noise and variability (Kascenas et al., 2022; Lu et al., 2024). These methods are particularly effective in unsupervised settings.

Generative adversarial networks (GANs) further enhance reconstruction fidelity. Models such as f-AnoGAN and Ganomaly utilize adversarial training to generate realistic reconstructions and improve anomaly detection accuracy (Schlegl et al., 2019; Akcay et al., 2018). Comparative studies demonstrate that GAN-based methods outperform traditional autoencoders in capturing complex data distributions (Esmaeili, 2023).

Recent research has explored inpainting-based anomaly detection, where missing or corrupted regions are reconstructed to identify anomalies (Nguyen et al., 2021; Du, 2024). These approaches leverage contextual information to improve localization accuracy. Additionally, diffusion-based models, such as AnoDDPM, introduce probabilistic reconstruction mechanisms, offering improved stability and performance (Wyatt et al., 2022).

Transformer-based architectures, including Swin-UNet, provide enhanced feature representation through attention mechanisms (Cao, 2022). Memory-augmented and cross-attention models further improve anomaly detection by capturing multi-scale contextual dependencies (Tian, 2023).

Benchmark studies such as BMAD and MediAnomaly provide standardized evaluation frameworks, highlighting the strengths and limitations of various anomaly detection techniques (Bao et al., 2023; Cai et al., 2024). These studies reveal that no single approach universally outperforms others, emphasizing the need for hybrid frameworks.

The literature indicates several research gaps: limited application of synthetic reconstruction techniques in hepatic imaging, challenges in balancing reconstruction accuracy and anomaly sensitivity, and lack of unified frameworks integrating multiple generative models. This study addresses these gaps by proposing a hybrid reconstruction-based approach tailored to liver CT imaging.

3. Methodological Framework for Synthetic

Reconstruction

3.1 Theoretical Foundations of Reconstruction-Based Anomaly Detection

Reconstruction-based anomaly detection operates on the premise that models trained on normal data will fail to accurately reconstruct anomalous regions. This principle is rooted in representation learning, where latent spaces encode dominant patterns of normal anatomy. Deviations from this learned distribution manifest as reconstruction errors.

Mathematically, given an input image x , a reconstruction model f_{θ} produces $\hat{x} = f_{\theta}(x)$. The anomaly score is defined as:

$$A(x) = \|x - \hat{x}\|$$

High reconstruction error indicates potential anomalies. However, this formulation assumes that the model does not generalize to abnormal patterns, which is not always guaranteed.

3.2 Autoencoder-Based Reconstruction

Autoencoders consist of encoder-decoder architectures that compress input data into latent representations and reconstruct them. Variants such as variational autoencoders (VAEs) introduce probabilistic modeling, improving generalization.

In hepatic CT imaging, autoencoders learn liver texture patterns. However, challenges arise when lesions resemble normal tissue, leading to false negatives. Enhancements such as denoising and memory modules address these issues by improving robustness and selective reconstruction.

3.3 GAN-Based Synthetic Reconstruction

GANs introduce adversarial training between a generator and discriminator. In anomaly detection, the generator reconstructs images, while the discriminator evaluates realism. Models such as Ganomaly integrate encoder-decoder structures within GAN frameworks to improve reconstruction fidelity.

GAN-based methods are particularly effective in capturing complex liver textures and contrast variations. However, they may suffer from instability and mode collapse.

3.4 Transformer and Attention Mechanisms

Attention-based architectures enhance feature representation by focusing on relevant regions. Swin-UNet leverages hierarchical attention to capture global and local dependencies, improving segmentation and reconstruction.

In anomaly detection, attention mechanisms guide reconstruction processes, ensuring that normal regions

are accurately modeled while anomalies are highlighted.

3.5 Inpainting and Contextual Reconstruction

Inpainting techniques reconstruct missing regions based on surrounding context. In hepatic imaging, this approach enables targeted reconstruction of suspicious areas, improving localization accuracy.

4. Proposed Hybrid Framework

The proposed framework integrates multiple reconstruction paradigms:

1. Memory-Augmented Autoencoder for baseline reconstruction
2. GAN-Based Module for high-fidelity synthesis
3. Attention-Guided Inpainting for localized anomaly detection
4. Transformer Encoder for contextual feature extraction

The workflow includes preprocessing, liver region extraction, reconstruction, anomaly scoring, and post-processing. This hybrid approach addresses limitations of individual methods by combining their strengths.

5. Experimental Design and Evaluation

The framework is evaluated using benchmark datasets aligned with BMAD and MediAnomaly standards. Metrics include Dice coefficient, AUC, sensitivity, and specificity. Comparative analysis is conducted against U-Net, nnU-Net, and standalone GAN models.

6. Results

The experimental evaluation demonstrates that synthetic reconstruction techniques significantly enhance the detection of hepatic lesions in CT imaging compared to traditional segmentation-based approaches. The proposed hybrid framework achieved a higher sensitivity in identifying small and low-contrast lesions, which are typically challenging for supervised models. Specifically, the integration of GAN-based reconstruction with memory-augmented autoencoders resulted in improved anomaly localization, as reflected in elevated AUC scores across benchmark datasets.

Quantitative analysis indicates that reconstruction-based methods outperform conventional U-Net architectures in anomaly detection tasks, particularly in scenarios with limited annotated data. The hybrid framework achieved a balanced performance, maintaining high sensitivity while controlling false positives. Transformer-based attention mechanisms contributed to improved contextual understanding, allowing the model to distinguish between normal anatomical variations and pathological anomalies.

The inpainting module played a crucial role in refining anomaly localization. By reconstructing masked regions, the model effectively identified discrepancies between predicted and actual tissue structures. This approach reduced ambiguity in boundary regions, leading to more precise lesion delineation.

Comparative results with nnU-Net revealed that while segmentation models excel in well-defined lesions, they struggle with diffuse or irregular patterns. In contrast, reconstruction-based methods demonstrated robustness in handling heterogeneous lesion appearances. However, the study also identified limitations, including occasional over-reconstruction of anomalous regions, which reduced anomaly contrast.

Performance evaluation across different CT phases showed consistent results, indicating the model's adaptability to varying contrast conditions. Nonetheless, domain shift between datasets introduced minor performance degradation, highlighting the need for domain adaptation strategies.

Overall, the findings confirm that synthetic reconstruction techniques provide a viable and effective alternative to traditional supervised methods, particularly in data-constrained environments.

7. Discussion

The results underscore the transformative potential of synthetic reconstruction techniques in medical image analysis. By shifting the focus from explicit lesion modeling to anomaly detection, these methods address fundamental challenges associated with data scarcity and annotation variability. The improved sensitivity observed in the proposed framework aligns with findings from prior studies on GAN-based anomaly detection (Akçay et al., 2018; Schlegl et al., 2019).

A key advantage of reconstruction-based approaches lies in their ability to generalize across diverse lesion types. Unlike supervised models that require labeled examples for each class, anomaly detection frameworks rely on modeling normal anatomy, enabling them to identify previously unseen abnormalities. This capability is particularly relevant in hepatic imaging, where lesion heterogeneity is significant.

The integration of transformer-based attention mechanisms enhances contextual understanding, addressing limitations of traditional convolutional architectures. This aligns with recent advancements in medical imaging, where attention models have demonstrated superior performance in capturing global dependencies (Cao, 2022). However, the increased computational complexity associated with these models may limit their real-time applicability.

Despite their advantages, synthetic reconstruction

techniques face several challenges. Reconstruction bias remains a critical issue, as models may inadvertently reconstruct anomalous regions, reducing detection accuracy. This phenomenon has been observed in autoencoder-based approaches (Baur et al., 2021). The use of adversarial training partially mitigates this issue but introduces stability concerns.

Another limitation is the sensitivity to domain shifts. Variations in imaging protocols, scanner types, and patient populations can impact model performance. Benchmark studies emphasize the importance of standardized evaluation frameworks to address these challenges (Bao et al., 2023; Cai et al., 2024).

The findings also highlight the complementary nature of reconstruction-based and segmentation-based approaches. While reconstruction methods excel in anomaly detection, segmentation models provide precise localization. Future research should explore hybrid systems that integrate both paradigms.

From a clinical perspective, the adoption of synthetic reconstruction techniques could enhance diagnostic workflows by reducing radiologist workload and improving detection accuracy. However, considerations such as interpretability, validation, and regulatory approval remain critical for real-world implementation.

8. Conclusion

This study presents a comprehensive analysis of synthetic reconstruction techniques for identifying hepatic lesions in CT imaging. By integrating autoencoders, GANs, attention mechanisms, and inpainting strategies, the proposed framework demonstrates significant improvements in anomaly detection performance. The research highlights the advantages of unsupervised and semi-supervised approaches in addressing data limitations and enhancing generalization.

The findings contribute to the growing body of literature on anomaly detection in medical imaging, offering a novel perspective on hepatic lesion identification. While challenges such as reconstruction bias and domain variability persist, the proposed hybrid framework provides a robust foundation for future advancements.

Future research should focus on diffusion-based models, multimodal imaging integration, and real-time deployment strategies. Additionally, efforts to improve interpretability and clinical validation will be essential for translating these techniques into practice.

REFERENCES

1. S. Akcay, A. Atapour-Abarghouei, and T. P. Breckon, "Ganomaly: Semi-supervised anomaly

detection via adversarial training," in Proc. Asian Conf. Comput. Vis., 2018, pp. 622–637.

2. J. Bao, H. Sun, H. Deng, Y. He, Z. Zhang, and X. Li, "BMAD: Benchmarks for medical anomaly detection," 2023, arXiv:2306.11876.
3. C. Baur, B. Wiestler, S. Albarqouni, and N. Navab, "Deep autoencoding models for unsupervised anomaly segmentation in brain MR images," in Proc. Int. MICCAI Brainlesion Workshop, 2018, pp. 161–169.
4. C. Baur, S. Denner, B. Wiestler, N. Navab, and S. Albarqouni, "Autoencoders for unsupervised anomaly segmentation in brain MR images: A comparative study," *Med. Image Anal.*, vol. 69, Apr. 2021, Art. no. 101952.
5. C. C. Chang, "Computer-aided diagnosis of liver tumors on computed tomography images," *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, vol. 145, pp. 45–51, Jul. 2017.
6. Y. Cai, W. Zhang, H. Chen, and K.-T. Cheng, "MedIAnomaly: A comparative study of anomaly detection in medical images," 2024, arXiv:2404.04518.
7. H. Cao, "Swin-UNet: UNet-like pure transformer for medical image segmentation," in Proc. Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis., 2022, pp. 205–218.
8. H. P. Chan, L. M. Hadjiiski, and R. K. Samala, "Computer-aided diagnosis in the era of deep learning," *Med. Phys.*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 218–227, 2020.
9. K. Doi, "Computer-aided diagnosis in medical imaging: Historical review, current status and future potential," *Comput. Med. Imag. Graph.*, vol. 31, nos. 4–5, pp. 198–211, 2007.
10. X. Du, "Anomaly-prior guided inpainting for industrial visual anomaly detection," *Opt. Laser Technol.*, vol. 170, Mar. 2024, Art. no. 110296.
11. M. Esmaeili, "Generative adversarial networks for anomaly detection in biomedical imaging: A study on seven medical image datasets," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 17906–17921, 2023.
12. R. Fitzgerald, "Error in radiology," *Clin. Radiol.*, vol. 56, no. 12, pp. 938–946, 2001.
13. M. H. Hesamian, W. Jia, X. He, and P. Kennedy, "Deep learning techniques for medical image segmentation: Achievements and challenges," *J. Digit. Imag.*, vol. 32, pp. 582–596, May 2019.
14. F. Isensee, P. F. Jaeger, S. A. Kohl, J. Petersen, and K. H. Maier-Hein, "nnU-Net: A self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation," *Nat. Methods*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp.

- 203–211, 2021.
15. A. Jemal, F. Bray, M. M. Center, J. Ferlay, E. Ward, and D. Forman, "Global cancer statistics," *CA, Cancer J. Clinicians*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 69–90, 2011.
 16. A. Kascenas, N. Pugeault, and A. Q. O'Neil, "Denoising autoencoders for unsupervised anomaly detection in brain MRI," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Med. Imag. Deep Learn.*, 2022, pp. 653–664.
 17. S. Lu, W. Zhang, H. Zhao, H. Liu, N. Wang, and H. Li, "Anomaly detection for medical images using heterogeneous auto-encoder," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 33, pp. 2770–2782, 2024.
 18. R. J. McDonald, "The effects of changes in utilization and technological advancements of cross-sectional imaging on radiologist workload," *Acad. Radiol.*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 1191–1198, 2015.
 19. B. Nguyen, A. Feldman, S. Bethapudi, A. Jennings, and C. G. Willcocks, "Unsupervised region-based anomaly detection in brain MRI with adversarial image inpainting," in *Proc. IEEE 18th Int. Symp. Biomed. Imag. (ISBI)*, 2021, pp. 1127–1131.
 20. P. V. Nayantara, S. Kamath, K. N. Manjunath, and K. V. Rajagopal, "Computer-aided diagnosis of liver lesions using CT images: A systematic review," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 127, Dec. 2020, Art. no. 104035.
 21. B. Vyas and R. M. Rajendran, "Generative adversarial networks for anomaly detection in medical images," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Innov. Res. Methodol.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 52–58, 2023.
 22. O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-Net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," in *Proc. Med. Image Comput. Comput.-Assist. Interv.*, 2015, pp. 234–241.
 23. T. Schlegl, P. Seeböck, S. M. Waldstein, G. Langs, and U. Schmidt-Erfurth, "f-AnoGAN: Fast unsupervised anomaly detection with generative adversarial networks," *Med. Image Anal.*, vol. 54, pp. 30–44, May 2019.
 24. N. Shvetsova, B. Bakker, I. Fedulova, H. Schulz, and D. V. Dylov, "Anomaly detection in medical imaging with deep perceptual autoencoders," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 118571–118583, 2021.
 25. R. L. Siegel, K. D. Miller, and A. Jemal, "Cancer statistics," *CA, Cancer J. Clinicians*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 7–34, 2019.
 26. N. Siddique, S. Paheding, C. P. Elkin, and V. Devabhaktuni, "U-net and its variants for medical image segmentation: A review of theory and applications," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 82031–82057, 2021.
 27. E. Sogancioglu, S. Hu, D. Belli, and B. van Ginneken, "Chest X-ray inpainting with deep generative models," 2018, arXiv:1809.01471.
 28. Y. Tian, "Unsupervised anomaly detection in medical images with a memory-augmented multi-level cross-attentional masked autoencoder," in *Proc. Int. Workshop Mach. Learn. Med. Imag.*, 2023, pp. 11–21.
 29. M. E. Tschuchnig and M. Gadermayr, "Anomaly detection in medical imaging—A mini review," in *Proc. Int. Data Sci. Conf.*, 2022, pp. 33–38.
 30. J. Wyatt, A. Leach, S. M. Schmon, and C. G. Willcocks, "AnoDDPM: Anomaly detection with denoising diffusion probabilistic models using simplex noise," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, 2022, pp. 650–656.
 31. J. Yanase and E. Triantaphyllou, "A systematic survey of computer-aided diagnosis in medicine: Past and present developments," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 138, Dec. 2019, Art. no. 112821.
 32. Z. Zhang, W. Guo, S. Zhang, H. Lu, and X. Zhao, "Unsupervised deep anomaly detection for medical images using an improved adversarial autoencoder," *J. Digit. Imag.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 153–161, 2022.
 33. Z. Zhou, M. M. Rahman Siddiquee, N. Tajbakhsh, and J. Liang, "UNet++: A nested U-Net architecture for medical image segmentation," in *Proc. Int. Workshop Deep Learn. Med. Image Anal.*, 2018, pp. 3–11.